

Deformed aerogels in the superfluid ^3He

I. A. Fomin^{1,*} and E. V. Surovtsev^{1,2}

¹*P. L. Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, Russian Academy of Science,
Kosygina 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia*

²*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technologies,
Institutskiy per. 9, Dolgoprudny, 141700, Moscow Region, Russia*
(Dated: September 7, 2015)

Deformed aerogels induce a global anisotropy in the superfluid ^3He and orient the orbital part of its order parameter. Here a phenomenological theory of the orientational effect of elastic deformations of aerogels on the superfluid phases of ^3He in the spirit of conventional theory of elasticity is formulated. Phenomenological coefficients, entering basic relations, depend on properties of given aerogel in the non-deformed state. Examples of originally isotropic silica aerogel and axially symmetric "nematically ordered" aerogel are considered. Values of phenomenological coefficients are estimated with the aid of a simple microscopic model. An example of a nonuniform deformation of "nematically ordered" aerogel is discussed.

PACS numbers: 67.30.hm, 67.30.er, 67.30.he, 61.30.-v

I. INTRODUCTION

High porosity aerogels immersed in the superfluid ^3He play part of ensemble of impurities in this unconventional (p-wave) superfluid.^{1,2} For the p-wave superfluid the order parameter is a 3×3 complex matrix $A_{\mu j}$. The first (Greek) index of this matrix enumerates three possible projections of spin and the second (Latin) – three projections of the orbital momentum of a Cooper pair. In the bulk liquid, if the effect of the walls of a container can be neglected, the order parameter is degenerate with respect to rotation of its orbital part. This degeneracy can be lifted by anisotropy introduced by aerogel. There is always a random local anisotropy with a characteristic scale of the order of a distance between the strands forming aerogel. For a macroscopic orientation of the order parameter of importance is the average, or the global anisotropy with a characteristic scale of the order of a size of a sample. Global anisotropy depends on composition of aerogel and technology of its preparation. Aluminium based "nematically ordered" aerogels have strong uniaxial anisotropy. As prepared silica aerogels (based on SiO_2) usually are close to being isotropic. Anisotropy of both aerogels can be changed by their deformation. Even small (few percent) squeezing of the sample of silica aerogel turned out to be sufficient for fixing orientation of the orbital vector $\mathbf{l}$ in the A-like phase.³ Deformation of the "nematically ordered" aerogels can break their axial symmetry.⁴ At present there are other examples of the use of a controlled deformation of aerogel as a tool for orientation of the order parameter of superfluid ^3He .⁵⁻⁷ On the other hand the existing theories are limited to analysis of a simple uniaxial strain of originally isotropic aerogel. They are based either on the qualitative Model of Random Cylinders (MRC),^{8,9} or on a symmetry argument applied to a restricted class of order parameters.¹⁰ We believe that a more systematic approach to the problem is required.

In a present paper the orientational effect of elastic

macroscopic deformations of isotropic and anisotropic aerogels on the order parameter of superfluid ^3He is considered with the aid of conventional theory of elasticity (e.g.¹¹) combined with a phenomenological description of the local anisotropy induced by aerogel.

The paper is organized as follows: Set up and phenomenological analysis of the problem are presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III, the proposed scheme is applied to simple uniform deformations of isotropic and anisotropic aerogels. Phenomenological constants, entering general expressions are evaluated with the aid of the microscopic model in Sec. IV and in Sec. V, a simple example of a nonuniform deformation is considered.

II. PHENOMENOLOGY

In the vicinity of the transition temperature T_c presence of aerogel in a principal order on its concentration can be formally described by the additional term $f_a = \eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r}) A_{\mu j} A_{\mu l}^*$ in the Landau expansion of the density of thermodynamic potential, where $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ is a real symmetric random tensor.¹² It enters the linear part of the Ginzburg and Landau equation

$$[\tau \delta_{jl} + \eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})] A_{\mu l} - \xi_s^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 A_{\mu j}}{\partial x_l^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 A_{\mu l}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\tau = (T - T_c)/T_c$ and $\xi_s^2 = \frac{7\hbar^2 v_F^2 \zeta(3)}{80\pi^2 T_c^2}$. Tensor $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ varies in space on a scale of the average distance between the strands of aerogel, its isotropic part $\frac{1}{3} \delta_{jl} \eta_{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ describes local shift of T_c , while the anisotropic part $\eta_{jl}^{(a)}(\mathbf{r}) = \eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{jl} \eta_{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ – the local anisotropy. Global anisotropy of aerogel is characterized by the ensemble average $\langle \eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \equiv \kappa_{jl}$. This average varies on a distance of the order of a size of a sample.

Deformation of a sample in terms of the conventional theory of elasticity¹¹ is described by the *displacement vector* $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are respectively

initial and final positions of a material point within the aerogel. If the deformation is elastic (reversible), then $\kappa_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ is a function of derivatives $\partial u_m / \partial x_n$. The derivatives can be grouped in two combinations - symmetric and antisymmetric, having different physical meaning. The symmetric combination

$$u_{jl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (2)$$

in a linear approximation coincides with the *strain tensor*. For given external conditions u_{jl} can be found as the solution of corresponding problem of the theory of elasticity. Then the ensuing change of κ_{jl} in a principal order on u_{jl} can be written as:

$$\delta \kappa_{jl}^u = \lambda_{jlmn} u_{mn}. \quad (3)$$

The “susceptibility” λ_{jlmn} has obvious symmetry properties following from the definitions of κ_{jl} and u_{mn} :

$$\lambda_{jlmn} = \lambda_{ljmn} = \lambda_{jlnm}. \quad (4)$$

Additional restrictions on λ_{jlmn} can follow from the symmetry properties of the non-deformed aerogel.

The antisymmetric combination of derivatives

$$v_{jl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (5)$$

is connected with the local rotation of the infinitesimal volume of aerogel at the point $\mathbf{r}$ by the angle $\delta \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \text{rot} \mathbf{u}$. The ensuing change of anisotropy can be written as a commutator $\delta \kappa_{jl}^R = v_{jm} \kappa_{ml}^{(0)} - \kappa_{jm}^{(0)} v_{ml}$, where $\kappa_{jl}^{(0)}$ is the value of tensor κ_{jl} before deformation. Together with Eq. (3) it renders correction to κ_{jl} of the first order on derivatives of the displacement vector:

$$\kappa_{jl} = \kappa_{jl}^{(0)} + v_{jm} \kappa_{ml}^{(0)} - \kappa_{jm}^{(0)} v_{ml} + \lambda_{jlmn} u_{mn}. \quad (6)$$

This formula serves as a basis for a more detailed analysis of particular situations.

III. EXAMPLES

The most simple situation arises when the non-deformed aerogel is isotropic, i.e. $\kappa_{jl}^{(0)} \sim \delta_{jl}$. Since $\kappa_{jl}^{(0)}$ commutes with v_{jl} only true deformations u_{jl} contribute to the induced anisotropy. The susceptibility λ_{jlmn} in this case is a combination of isotropic tensors:

$$\lambda_{jlmn} = \lambda^{(0)} \delta_{jl} \delta_{mn} + \lambda^{(1)} (\delta_{jm} \delta_{ln} + \delta_{jn} \delta_{lm} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{jl} \delta_{mn}), \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda^{(0)}$ and $\lambda^{(1)}$ are phenomenological coefficients, and the change of κ_{jl} is given by

$$\delta \kappa_{jl} = \lambda^{(0)} \delta_{jl} u_{pp} + 2\lambda^{(1)} \left(u_{jl} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{jl} u_{pp} \right). \quad (8)$$

Of principal interest is the second term on the r.h.s. ($\kappa_{jl}^{(a)}$ in what follows) which breaks rotational symmetry.

As an example consider deformation of a simple extension (or simple compression) of a sample in the form of a rectangular parallelepiped along one of its symmetry axis, taken as z -direction. If δh_z is a change of its size h_z in z -direction only diagonal components of u_{jl} are finite: $u_{zz} = \delta h_z / h_z$, $u_{xx} = u_{yy} = -\sigma_P u_{zz}$, where σ_P is the Poisson ratio. Substitution of such u_{jl} in Eq. (8) renders for the induced anisotropy tensor

$$\kappa_{jl}^{(a)} = \frac{2\lambda^{(1)}}{3} (1 + \sigma_P) \frac{\delta h_z}{h_z} (3\hat{z}_j \hat{z}_l - \delta_{jl}). \quad (9)$$

If ^3He is in the Anderson-Brinkman-Morel (ABM) phase with the order parameter $A_{\mu j} = (\Delta / \sqrt{2}) d_\mu (\hat{m}_j + i \hat{n}_j)$ and the usual definitions of the mutually orthogonal unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{m}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{l}} = \hat{\mathbf{m}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ the orientation energy is

$$\Delta \Phi = N(0) \frac{\Delta^2}{3} \lambda^{(1)} (1 + \sigma_P) \frac{\delta h_z}{h_z} [1 - 3(\hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})^2]. \quad (10)$$

The induced orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ depends on the sign of $\lambda^{(1)}$, that is determined by the concrete structure of aerogel. In case of a simple extension $\frac{\delta h_z}{h_z} > 0$. If $\lambda^{(1)} > 0$ minimum of $\Delta \Phi$ is reached at $(\hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})^2 = 1$, i.e. $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ is aligned with $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. If $\lambda^{(1)} < 0$ $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ must belong to a plane, perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. E.g. for aerogels used in experiments^{3,13} the latter possibility is favored.

If deformation of the sample is a simple shear e.g. $u_y = \alpha z$, the non-vanishing components of the strain tensor are $u_{yz} = u_{zy} = \alpha/2$ and corresponding anisotropy tensor is

$$\kappa_{yz}^{(a)} = \kappa_{zy}^{(a)} = \frac{\alpha \lambda^{(1)}}{2} [\hat{y}_j \hat{z}_l + \hat{z}_j \hat{y}_l]. \quad (11)$$

For $\lambda^{(1)} < 0$ such anisotropy orients vector $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ of the ABM-order parameter in yz plane along the line inclined for the angle $\frac{3\pi}{4}$ with respect to positive direction of z -axis. This result is expected because pure shear is a combination of a simple extension and a simple compression in mutually perpendicular directions. We conclude that the anisotropy, induced in the superfluid ^3He by deformation of originally isotropic aerogel is determined by one phenomenological constant $\lambda^{(1)}$.

In the above examples we considered the average orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ prescribed by the global anisotropy $\kappa_{jl}^{(a)}$. The effect of fluctuations $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r}) - \kappa_{jl}^{(a)}$ was neglected since it appears in the second order on the perturbation. When $\kappa_{jl}^{(a)} = 0$ only the effect of fluctuations remains. Because of degeneracy of the state with respect to direction of $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ the fluctuations disrupt the long-range order and lead to formation of the Larkin-Imry-Ma (LIM) state.^{14,15} At finite $\kappa_{jl}^{(a)}$ its ordering effect competes with the disrupting effect of fluctuations. Estimations show^{8,16} that two effects are comparable at a deformation $\frac{\delta h}{h} \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$. The anisotropy wins at $\frac{\delta h}{h} \geq 10^{-2}$. If deformation is

nonuniform regions of the ordered $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ can coexist with regions of disrupted order.

Aluminium based aerogels¹⁷ consist of long strands, which are approximately parallel to one direction. In what follows this direction is specified by a unit vector ν_j . These aerogels in a non-deformed state have average axial symmetry and induce anisotropy¹⁸

$$\kappa_{jl}^{(a0)} = \kappa(3\hat{\nu}_j\hat{\nu}_l - \delta_{jl}) \equiv 3\kappa w_{jl}. \quad (12)$$

Experiments⁴ show that in a presence of such aerogel equilibrium orientation of the A-like phase corresponds to $\hat{\mathbf{l}} \perp \hat{\nu}$, i.e. to $\kappa < 0$. Such orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ agrees with a simple physical argument. The shorthand notation $w_{jl} = (\hat{\nu}_j\hat{\nu}_l - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{jl})$ introduced in Eq. (12) is convenient for writing down a phenomenological expression for λ_{jlmn} . Now this tensor has to be constructed of products of components of vector $\hat{\nu}_j$ and of the unit tensor δ_{mn} . Altogether there are six combinations, meeting the symmetry requirements specified by Eq. (4): $\delta_{jl}\delta_{mn}$; $(\delta_{jm}\delta_{ln} + \delta_{jn}\delta_{lm})$; $\delta_{jl}\nu_m\nu_n$; $(\delta_{ln}\nu_m\nu_j + \delta_{lm}\nu_j\nu_n + \delta_{jn}\nu_m\nu_l + \delta_{jm}\nu_l\nu_n)$; $\delta_{mn}\nu_j\nu_l$; $\nu_j\nu_l\nu_m\nu_n$. It is convenient to regroup these combinations so that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{jlmn} = & \gamma_0\delta_{jl}\delta_{mn} + \gamma_1\delta_{jl}w_{mn} \\ & + \gamma_2w_{jl}\delta_{mn} + \gamma_3w_{jl}w_{mn} \\ & + \gamma_4(\delta_{jm}\delta_{ln} + \delta_{jn}\delta_{lm} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{jl}\delta_{mn} - 3w_{jl}w_{mn}) \\ & + \gamma_5(\delta_{jm}w_{ln} + \delta_{jn}w_{lm}\nu_n + \delta_{lm}w_{jn} + \delta_{ln}w_{jm} \\ & - \frac{4}{3}\delta_{jl}w_{mn} - \frac{4}{3}w_{jl}\delta_{mn} - 2w_{jl}w_{mn}), \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_5$ are phenomenological coefficients, their relative values depend on the initial anisotropy. The first four terms on the r.h.s. of Eq. (13) do not break the initial axial symmetry for arbitrary deformation, they introduce small corrections to the overall transition temperature and to a strength of anisotropy κ . Orientation of the order parameter in the plane orthogonal to the symmetry axis can be induced only by the last two terms. For example a simple extension $\frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}$ in a direction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, which is perpendicular to $\hat{\nu}_j$ corresponds to the strain tensor $u_{mn} = \frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}[(1 + \sigma_P)\hat{x}_m\hat{x}_n - \sigma_P\delta_{mn}]$. It leads to the increment of κ_{jl}

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\kappa_{jl} = & \frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}\delta_{jl}[\gamma_0(1 - 2\sigma_P) - \gamma_1(1 + \sigma_P)] \\ & + \frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}w_{jl}[\gamma_2(1 - 2\sigma_P) - \gamma_3(1 + \sigma_P)] \\ & + \frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}(1 + \sigma_P)\left(\gamma_4 - \frac{2}{3}\gamma_5\right)(\hat{x}_j\hat{x}_l - \hat{y}_j\hat{y}_l). \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

The sense of orientation of vector $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ of the ABM-order parameter in the xy plane is determined by the orientational energy

$$\delta\Phi = N(0)\frac{\Delta^2}{2}\left(\gamma_4 - \frac{2}{3}\gamma_5\right)(1 + \sigma_P)\frac{\delta h_x}{h_x}(l_y^2 - l_x^2), \quad (15)$$

which depends on the sign of the combination $(\gamma_4 - \frac{2}{3}\gamma_5)$. If it is positive a uniform squeezing along $\hat{x}$ -direction orients $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ in $\hat{y}$ -direction. The only available data for squeezing of nematic aerogel in a direction perpendicular to its strands⁴ favors this sense of orientation. This result contrasts with that for isotropic silica aerogel, where $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ orients itself along the direction of squeezing.

For a simple shear in the xy -plane ($u_x = \alpha y$) $u_{mn} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{x}_m\hat{y}_n + \hat{x}_n\hat{y}_m)$, $v_{mn} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{x}_m\hat{y}_n - \hat{x}_n\hat{y}_m)$ v_{jl} commutes with $\kappa_{jl}^{(a0)}$

$$\delta\kappa_{jl} = \left(\gamma_4 - \frac{2}{3}\gamma_5\right)\alpha(\hat{y}_j\hat{x}_l + \hat{x}_j\hat{y}_l) \quad (16)$$

and situation is analogous to that in the isotropic aerogel. For a shear in the zy -plane ($u_y = \alpha z$) both u_{mn} and v_{mn} contribute to the breaking of symmetry. Substitution of $u_{mn} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{y}_m\hat{z}_n + \hat{z}_m\hat{y}_n)$ and $v_{mn} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{y}_m\hat{z}_n - \hat{z}_m\hat{y}_n)$ in Eq. (6), using Eqs. (12) and (13), renders

$$\delta\kappa_{jl} = \left(\gamma_4 + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_5 + \frac{3\kappa}{2}\right)\alpha(\hat{y}_j\hat{z}_l + \hat{z}_j\hat{y}_l). \quad (17)$$

IV. MODEL

The effect of deformation of aerogel on orientation of the order parameter of the ABM-phase of superfluid ³He was qualitatively interpreted within the "Model of Random Cylinders" (MRC in what follows)⁸ (cf. also⁹). Here we apply this model to evaluation of the coefficients introduced in the previous section. For this purpose the MRC can be formulated as follows. Aerogel is an ensemble of randomly distributed in space and randomly oriented identical circular cylinders. The cylinders induce the anisotropy field $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ which in a principal order on ξ_0/l , where ξ_0 is the coherence length of superfluid ³He and l is the mean free path, can be represented as a sum of contributions of individual cylinders:

$$\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_s \eta_{jl}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s). \quad (18)$$

Here $\eta_{jl}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s)$ is the local anisotropy induced by a single cylinder, situated at the point $\mathbf{r}_s$ and summation in Eq. (18) is going over all cylinders. Averaging of Eq. (18) renders: $\langle\eta_{jl}\rangle = n\langle\eta_{jl}^{(1)}(0)\rangle$, where n is the volume density of impurities and $\eta_{jl}^{(1)}(0)$ – Fourier transform of $\eta_{jl}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ at $k = 0$. Perturbation induced by an axially symmetric impurity according to Ref.¹⁹ can be represented as a sum of two parts:

$$\eta_{jl}^{(1)}(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{4}\xi_0\left[\sigma^{(i)}\delta_{jl} + \sigma^{(a)}(3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl})\right], \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_l$ are components of a unit vector in the direction of symmetry axis of a chosen impurity, $\xi_0 = \frac{\hbar v_F}{2\pi T_c}$,

and $\sigma^{(i)}$ and $\sigma^{(a)}$ are different averages of the differential cross-section $d\sigma/d\Omega$ of Fermi quasi-particles by the impurity. They are defined by the equality:

$$\sigma^{(i)}\delta_{jl} + \sigma^{(a)}(3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl}) = 3 \int \frac{d^2\vartheta}{4\pi} \int d^2\vartheta' [\hat{\nu}_j\hat{\nu}_l - \hat{\nu}'_j\hat{\nu}'_l] \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\nu, \nu'). \quad (20)$$

E.g. if impurities are circular cylinders with the radius ϱ and the height $b \gg \varrho$, which specularly reflect quasi-particle, then (cf.⁹) $\sigma^{(i)} = \frac{\pi}{4}b\varrho$ and $\sigma^{(a)} = -\frac{\pi}{8}b\varrho$. For diffusive scattering $\sigma^{(i)} = (\frac{13}{18}\pi - \frac{2}{3})b\varrho$ and $\sigma^{(a)} = -\left[\left(\frac{5}{12}\right)^2\pi - \frac{1}{3}\right]b\varrho$. Note, that the sign of $\sigma^{(a)}$ does not depend on the type of scattering (diffusive or specular), but depends on the shape of impurity. For the cigar-shaped impurities $\sigma^{(a)} < 0$ and $\sigma^{(a)} > 0$ for the disc-like impurities.¹⁹ So, we conclude, that

$$\langle \eta_{jl} \rangle = n \frac{\pi^2}{4} \xi_0 \left[\sigma^{(i)}\delta_{jl} + \sigma^{(a)}\langle (3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl}) \rangle \right]. \quad (21)$$

For isotropic distribution of directions of impurities $\langle (3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl}) \rangle = 0$. As a result the first order correction to T_c is isotropic and no global anisotropy is induced. The shift of T_c coincides with that obtained within the conventional theory of superconducting alloys.²⁰

Deformation changes a density of aerogel n and the angular average $\langle (3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl}) \rangle$. Relation of this change to a macroscopic deformation has to be specified. In line with the MRC we assume that the cylinders are "glued" in a continuous media. At a deformation they change their positions and orientations together with this media. The infinitesimal vector dx_j connecting two neighboring points of the media in accord with the definition of the displacement vector $\mathbf{u}$ changes as:

$$dx'_j = dx_j + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_m} dx_m. \quad (22)$$

The square of a distance is expressed via the strain tensor

$$dx'_j dx'_j = (\delta_{mn} + 2u_{mn}) dx_m dx_n. \quad (23)$$

For small deformations the nonlinear term in the definition of u_{jl} can be neglected. Combination of Eqs. (22) and (23) in a linear approximation over derivatives of $\mathbf{u}$ renders a rule for transformation of a product of components of the unit vector: $e_j = \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{dx_m dx_m}}$

$$e'_j e'_l = e_j e_l + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_m} e_m e_l + \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_m} e_j e_m - 2u_{mn} e_m e_n e_j e_l. \quad (24)$$

Using this rule we can express average $\langle \hat{a}'_j \hat{a}'_l \rangle$ in Eq. (21) via the averages $\langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_n \rangle$ for the non-deformed aerogel

$$\langle \hat{a}'_j \hat{a}'_l \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \rangle + u_{jm} \langle \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_l \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_m \rangle u_{ml} + v_{jm} \langle \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_l \rangle - \langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_m \rangle v_{ml} - 2u_{mn} \langle \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \rangle. \quad (25)$$

Change of the density of impurities is expressed via the strain tensor as:

$$n' = \frac{n}{1 + u_{mm}} \approx n(1 - u_{mm}). \quad (26)$$

Together with Eq. (21) it renders

$$\kappa_{jl} - \kappa_{jl}^{(0)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \xi_0 n [-\sigma^{(i)}\delta_{jl} u_{nn} - \sigma^{(a)}\langle 3\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_l - \delta_{jl} \rangle u_{nn} + 3\sigma^{(a)}(\langle \hat{a}'_j \hat{a}'_l \rangle - \langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \rangle)] \quad (27)$$

If before the deformation aerogel was isotropic

$$\kappa_{jl} - \kappa_{jl}^{(0)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \xi_0 n [-\sigma^{(i)} u_{nn} \delta_{jl} + \frac{6}{5} \sigma^{(a)} (u_{jl} - \frac{1}{3} u_{nn} \delta_{jl})]. \quad (28)$$

In the nomenclature of the elasticity theory a trace of u_{jl} represents hydrostatic compression and the traceless tensor in the brackets represents a pure shear. Only the pure shear part can orient the order parameter of superfluid ^3He . Comparison of Eqs. (28) and (8) shows that for long cylinders the model renders $\lambda^{(1)} < 0$.

In case of uniaxially symmetric aerogel with the symmetry axis ν_i the averages $\langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_n \rangle$ depend on two parameters which can be introduced as: $q_1 = 3\langle a_x^2 \rangle = 3\langle a_y^2 \rangle$, $q_2 = 1 - 3\langle a_x^2 \rangle - \langle a_z^4 \rangle + \langle a_x^4 \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_j a_k \rangle &= (q_1/3)\delta_{jk} + (1 - q_1)\nu_j \nu_k, \\ \langle a_j a_l a_m a_n \rangle &= (q_1/15 - q_2/5) \\ &\times (\delta_{mn}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{jm}\delta_{ln} + \delta_{jn}\delta_{lm}) \\ &+ q_2 \cdot (\delta_{mn}\nu_j \nu_l + \delta_{jl}\nu_m \nu_n + \delta_{jm}\nu_l \nu_n + \delta_{jn}\nu_m \nu_l \\ &\quad + \delta_{ln}\nu_m \nu_j + \delta_{lm}\nu_n \nu_j) \\ &+ (1 - q_1 - 7q_2) \cdot \nu_j \nu_l \nu_m \nu_n. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From the inequalities $0 \leq a_z^4 \leq a_x^2 \leq 1$, $0 \leq a_x^4 \leq a_x^2 \leq 1$ we find the following restrictions on q_1 , q_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq q_1 \leq 3/2, \\ -(2/9)q_1 &\leq q_2 \leq \min\{5/6 - (7/9)q_1, q_1/3\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The case $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = 0$ corresponds to originally isotropic aerogel $\langle a_x^2 \rangle = \langle a_y^2 \rangle = \langle a_z^2 \rangle = 1/3$, $\langle a_x^4 \rangle = \langle a_y^4 \rangle = \langle a_z^4 \rangle = 1/5$. For "nematically ordered" aerogel $\langle a_z^2 \rangle = \langle a_z^4 \rangle = 1$, i.e. $q_1 = 0$, $q_2 = 0$.

After substitution of (29) into (27) one can find phenomenological coefficients γ_i and κ . The first two are $\gamma_0 = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \xi_0 n \sigma^{(i)}$, and $\gamma_1 = 0$. All remaining coefficients are proportional to $\tilde{\gamma} \equiv \frac{3\pi^2}{4} \xi_0 n \sigma^{(a)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= (q_1 - 1)\tilde{\gamma}, \\ \gamma_3 &= \frac{3}{5}(q_1 + 12q_2)\tilde{\gamma}, \\ \gamma_4 &= \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{15}(q_1 + 7q_2)\right]\tilde{\gamma}, \\ \gamma_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - q_1 - 4q_2)\tilde{\gamma}, \\ \kappa &= \frac{1}{3}(1 - q_1)\tilde{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The sign of the combination $\gamma_4 - 2/3\gamma_5$ determines a sense of orientation of vector $\mathbf{l}$ at squeezing of axially symmetric aerogel in a direction perpendicular to

the symmetry axis. As it was discussed in Sec. III, experimental data favor possibility $\gamma_4 - 2/3\gamma_5 > 0$. For the cigar-shaped impurities $\sigma^{(a)} < 0$ and consequently $\tilde{\gamma} < 0$. Then it follows from the expressions (31), that inequality $\gamma_4 - 2/3\gamma_5 > 0$ can be satisfied if $q_2 < -q_1/2$. On the other hand one of the inequalities (30) is $q_2 > -2/9q_1$. So for finite q orientation of vector $\mathbf{l}$ perpendicular to the direction of squeezing is not admitted by the model. For the limiting case of "nematically ordered" aerogel $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ and $\gamma_4 - 2/3\gamma_5 = 0$. It means that the model is not sufficient even for a qualitative interpretation of the orientational effect in this case. Essential underlying assumption of MRC is that aerogel consist of a rigid blocks (cylinders) which do not change their form at a macroscopic deformation of aerogel. Deformation changes only spacial density and orientation of the blocks. This assumption may have limited applicability to aerogels, as has been emphasized in Ref. 10.

V. TWISTING

As an example of a non-uniform deformation consider a twisting of a cylinder of radius R . If z -axis is oriented along the axis of the cylinder the displacement vector u_j at twisting has following components¹¹:

$$u_x = -\epsilon zy, u_y = \epsilon zx, u_z = 0. \quad (32)$$

Here $\epsilon = \frac{d\varphi}{dz}$ and φ is the angle of rotation of a cross-sectional plane at the deformation. The non-vanishing components of the corresponding strain tensor are:

$$u_{xz} = u_{zx} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}y, u_{yz} = u_{zy} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}x. \quad (33)$$

Deformations can be considered as small if the condition $\epsilon R \ll 1$ is met. Finite components of the antisymmetric tensor v_{jl} defined by Eq. (5) are:

$$v_{xy} = -\epsilon z, v_{xz} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}y, v_{yz} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}x. \quad (34)$$

We consider situation when the original anisotropy is uniaxial and the axis of anisotropy is parallel to the axis of the cylinder, i.e. in the non-deformed state $\kappa_{jl}^{(a0)} = 3\kappa w_{jl}$. With this $\kappa_{jl}^{(a0)}$ and Eqs. (6), (33) and (34) we arrive at:

$$\delta\kappa_{xz} = \delta\kappa_{zx} = -\frac{3}{2}\tilde{\kappa}\epsilon y, \delta\kappa_{yz} = \delta\kappa_{zy} = \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\kappa}\epsilon x, \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{2}{3}(\frac{3\kappa}{2} + \gamma_4 + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_5)$.

Since the increment $\delta\kappa_{jl}$ is nonuniform the equilibrium texture of the order parameter will be also non-uniform. Let us consider its asymptotic form at large distances ρ from the axis of the cylinder where the gradient energy can be neglected. Using Eq. (35) the orientational energy for the ABM-phase at the point

$(x, y, z) = (\rho \cos \varphi, \rho \sin \varphi, z)$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f^{(A)} &= \frac{1}{2}N(0)\Delta^2 (3\kappa w_{jk} + \delta\kappa_{jk}) (\delta_{jk} - l_j l_k) = \\ &= -\alpha \left\{ 3\kappa \left[\cos^2 \theta - \frac{1}{3} \right] + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\kappa}\epsilon\rho \sin 2\theta \sin(\psi - \varphi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}N(0)\Delta^2$, θ and ψ are spherical coordinates of the orbital vector $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}$. Minimization of Eq. (36) with respect to θ and ψ leads to the following two solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\psi_{1,2} - \varphi) &= \pm 1, \\ \theta_{1,2} &= \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{\kappa} \epsilon \rho \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The first solution corresponds to the upper signs, and the second to the lower ones. Substitution of either of these solutions in Eq. (36) renders in a principal order on $\epsilon\rho \ll 1$ the expression for the gain of orientational energy:

$$\delta f^{(A)} \approx -\frac{3}{4}\alpha \frac{(\tilde{\kappa}\epsilon\rho)^2}{\kappa}. \quad (38)$$

Gradient terms can be neglected if energy $\delta f^{(A)}$ is much bigger than the density of gradient energy $f_{\nabla} \sim N(0)\Delta^2(\xi_0/\rho)^2$. Using Eq. (38) one can find a distance ρ_h where two energies are comparable:

$$\rho_h \sim \left(\frac{\xi_0^2 \kappa}{\tilde{\kappa}^2 \epsilon^2} \right)^{1/4} \sim \left(\frac{\xi_0}{n\sigma^{(a)}\epsilon^2} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (39)$$

Here we assumed $\tilde{\kappa} \sim \kappa$ and used a model approximation $\kappa \sim n\sigma^{(a)}\xi_0$. Solutions (37) can be used only in the asymptotic region $\rho \gg \rho_h$.

There is another mechanism which limits from below a region of applicability of the quasi-uniform solution. As follows from Eq. (33) the strain vanishes at the axis of the cylinder. In the vicinity of the axis vector $\mathbf{l}$ is oriented perpendicular to the z -direction. If the rigid blocks, forming aerogel are not axially symmetric, the fluctuating part of tensor $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ disrupt the long-range order of vector $\mathbf{l}$ in the xy plane and produces the two-dimensional LIM state.⁴ The disordered state extends up to a distance ρ_{LIM} from the axis of the twisted cylinder, where deformation suppresses the LIM-effect. From Eq. (35) and arguments of^{8,16} follows that this happens at

$$\rho_{LIM} \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\varrho^2}{\xi_0 \xi_a} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (40)$$

where ξ_a is an averaged distance between the strands. For realistic values of parameters always $\rho_h \ll \rho_{LIM}$. It means that a texture of $\mathbf{l}$ can be approximately described by Eqs. (37) only at $\rho > \rho_{LIM}$. This region exists if radius of the cylinder is sufficiently large $R > \rho_{LIM}$, or for typical values of parameters

$$\epsilon R > \frac{\varrho^2}{\xi_0 \xi_a} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \right)^{1/2} \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-2}. \quad (41)$$

Sufficiently strong magnetic field ($H \gg 30$ G) applied parallel to aerogel anisotropy axis orients spin vector $\mathbf{d}$ perpendicular to the field. Due to dipole energy at distances $\rho > \rho_{LIM}$ vector $\mathbf{d}$ follows projection of orbital vector $\mathbf{l}$ on the xy plane. Therefore, at distances $\rho > \rho_{LIM}$ one has a texture that is close to the tangential disgyrations both at orbital and spin spaces. Topological charge of this type of texture is $N = 1 + N_1$,²¹ where N_1 is number of quanta of vorticity and superfluid velocity is taken to be $\mathbf{v}_s = N_1(\hbar/2m\rho)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$. Spin part of the order parameter preserves vortex structure even at distances $\rho < \rho_{LIM}$, since fluctuations of dipole energy, caused by LIM state at orbital space, leads to LIM-length at spin space L_{LIM}^{spin} of the order of sample size $L_{LIM}^{spin} \sim \xi_D(\xi_D/L_{LIM}^{orb})^3 \sim \xi_D \cdot 10^3 \sim 10$ mm, where ξ_D is the dipole length $\sim 10^{-2}$ mm. The core size of the d-vortex has the order of magnetic length ξ_H .

Each of two asymptotic regions discussed above has its own NMR "signature". If magnetic field is oriented along z -axis the transverse frequency shift $\omega_\perp^o$ for the A-phase in the "ordered" state can be written in a form:

$$2\Delta\omega_\perp^o(\rho)\omega_L = \Omega_A^2 (1 - 2\cos^2[\theta_{1,2}(\rho)]) , \quad (42)$$

where ω_L is the Larmor frequency. Correction to the frequency shift due to the spacial dependence of the texture is small. Using Eq. (37) we find that $\cos^2[\theta_{1,2}(\rho)] \sim (\epsilon\rho)^2 \ll 1$. Therefore:

$$2\Delta\omega_\perp^o(\rho)\omega_L \approx \Omega_A^2. \quad (43)$$

The frequency shift of $2D$ LIM state at the same field orientation in the dipole-unlocked state, i.e. when $L_{LIM}^{orb} \ll \xi_D$, is equal to:

$$2\Delta\omega_\perp^{LIM}\omega_L = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_A^2. \quad (44)$$

If two states coexist, in the transverse NMR spectrum

there should be absorption within the interval $\frac{1}{4}\frac{\Omega_A^2}{\omega_L} < \omega < \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Omega_A^2}{\omega_L}$.

VI. CONCLUSION

We conclude that reaction of superfluid phases of ^3He in aerogel on elastic deformations of aerogel at temperatures not too far from T_c can be described in terms of few phenomenological constants - "susceptibilities", depending on properties of the aerogel in a non-deformed state and of ^3He in the normal phase. The susceptibilities relate tensor of the bulk anisotropy, which orients the orbital parts of the order parameters of superfluid phases of ^3He , to the symmetric strain tensor of deformed aerogel u_{jl} and antisymmetric tensor of a local rotation v_{jl} . These tensors can be found with the aid of conventional theory of elasticity. At a given pressure of helium the same phenomenological constants can be used e.g. for the ABM and for the distorted B-like phases. Physical mechanism of the orientational effect of a deformed aerogel is illustrated by a simple model (MRC). This model qualitatively describes some of the observed properties and renders realistic estimations of values of phenomenological constants, but it is oversimplified, e.g. it does not explain a sense of orientation of vector $\mathbf{l}$ for the ABM phase in "nematically ordered" aerogel. The considered examples show that the phenomenological part of the present analysis can serve as a framework for quantitative interpretation of experiments with deformed aerogels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project # 14-02-00054-a and grant # MK-6180.2014.2 of the President of the Russian Federation.

* fomin@kapitza.ras.ru

- ¹ J. V. Porto and J. M. Parpia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4667 (1995).
- ² D. T. Sprague, T. M. Haard, J. B. Kycia, M. R. Rand, Y. Lee, P. J. Hamot, and W. P. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 661 (1995).
- ³ T. Kunimatsu, T. Sato, K. Izumina, A. Matsubara, Y. Sasaki, M. Kubota, O. Ishikawa, T. Mizusaki, and Y. M. Bunkov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **86**, 244 (2007), [JETP Lett. **86**, 216 (2007)].
- ⁴ R. Askhadullin, V. Dmitriev, P. Martynov, A. Osipov, A. Senin, and A. Yudin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **100**, 747 (2014), [JETP Lett. **100**, 662, (2015)].
- ⁵ J. Elbs, Y. M. Bunkov, E. Collin, H. Godfrin, and G. E. Volovik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 215304 (2008).
- ⁶ J. I. A. Li, A. M. Zimmerman, J. Pollanen, C. A. Collett, W. J. Gannon, and W. P. Halperin, J. Low Temp. Phys.

- 175**, 31 (2013).
- ⁷ N. Zhelev, R. G. Bennett, E. N. Smith, J. Pollanen, W. P. Halperin, and J. M. Parpia, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 094513 (2014).
- ⁸ G. E. Volovik, J. Low Temp. Phys. **150**, 453 (2007).
- ⁹ E. V. Surovtsev and I. A. Fomin, J. Low Temp. Phys. **150**, 487 (2007).
- ¹⁰ J. A. Sauls, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 214503 (2013).
- ¹¹ L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity* (Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford, 1970).
- ¹² I. A. Fomin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **75**, 220 (2002), [JETP Lett. **75**, 187 (2002)].
- ¹³ V.V.Dmitriev, D.A.Krasnikhin, N.Mulders, A.A.Senin, G.E.Volovik, and A.N.Yudin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **91**, 669 (2010), [JETP Lett. **91**, 599 (2010)].
- ¹⁴ Y. Imry and S. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1399 (1975).

- ¹⁵ G.E.Volovik, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **63**, 301 (1996), [JETP Lett. **63**, 301, (1996)].
- ¹⁶ I. Fomin, J. Low Temp. Phys. **150**, 464 (2007).
- ¹⁷ R. Askhadullin, V. Dmitriev, D. Krasnikhin, P. Martynov, A. Osipov, A. Senin, and A. Yudin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **95**, 355 (2012), [JETP Lett. **95**, 326 (2012)].
- ¹⁸ I. A. Fomin and E. V. Surovtsev, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **97**, 742 (2013), [JETP Lett. **97**, 644, (2013)].
- ¹⁹ D. Rainer and M. Vuorio, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **10**, 3093 (1977).
- ²⁰ A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gorkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1781 (1961), [Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 1243 (1961)].
- ²¹ D. Vollhardt and P. Woelfle, *The Superfluid Phases of Helium 3* (Tailor and Francis, London, New York, Philadelphia, 1990).